RTP 18 - 19

Resources and support

- Introductions: Faculty Advancement <u>website resources</u>, staff
- Introductions: College RTP reps
- Resources: <u>Policy file</u> (contains criteria); see also department or college policy file.
- Support in developing your dossier: Mentor; chair / director
- Support in uploading your dossier: Dept. coordinator
- Support on procedural questions: College RTP representative

2018 - 2019

Performance Review Timeline

Complete timeline here:

http://fa.sdsu.edu/ resources/files/tenuretrack_evaluations/RTP%20Timeline%202018-2019.pdf

- 8.24 Candidates seeking promotion notify departments.
- 9.7 Candidates submit WPAF via Interfolio.
- 9.28 Closing date of WPAF.
- 10.12 Department/School Peer Review Committee recommendations sent.
- 10.19 Department Chair/School Director recommendations sent.
- 12.14 College Peer Review Committee recommendations sent.
- 12.21 Dean recommendations sent.
- 2.15 Last chance for late-add
- 3.15 University Promotions and Tenure Review Panel recommendations sent.
- 5.17 Provost announces final decisions on behalf of the President.

Basics of the RTP Process

□ General criteria:

- "Continuing excellence in teaching . . . [contextualized] within a continuing process of reflection and adjustment."
- "A consistent pattern of continuous growth in research, scholarship, or creative activity . . . [evidenced by] a well developed, coherent, and focused research plan or artistic vision"
- "Service to the university, the profession, and the community"

Basics of the RTP Process

- Standard for promotion to Professor:
- "Superior contributions to teaching effectiveness"
- "Evidence of a strong and coherent program of continuous growth that demonstrates their expertise in a particular field or area and impact of their work upon the body of knowledge"
- "A higher level of service and participation in shared governance"

Current tenure data at SDSU

- Statistical summary of promotions AYs 15 / 16 / 17
 here.
- \square Data on AY 17 18 available October 15.

"Demystifying the Path" to tenure and promotion

- Promote transparency and clarity through published documents and workshops for candidates and reviewers.
- Strengthen trust in evidence-based evaluation process by working with academic units to ensure that criteria are clearly articulated in policy documents and (optional) to create profiles of successful candidates in time for 19 – 20 review cycle.
- Work with academic units to ensure quality of formative periodic evaluations (years 2, 4, 5). Assess and strengthen the culture of mentoring.
- Develop monthly meet-ups using NCFDD materials for faculty seeking promotion to provide support and accountability.

"Demystifying [your own] Path" to tenure and promotion: what you can do

- Promote transparency and clarity through published documents and workshops for candidates and reviewers. Remember: paper-based process; paper-based rules.
- Strengthen trust in evidence-based evaluation process by working with academic units to ensure that criteria are clearly articulated in policy documents and (optional) to create profiles of successful candidates in time for 19 – 20 review cycle. Obtain copies of policy documents on-line or from your chair / director.
- Work with academic units to ensure quality of formative periodic evaluations (years 2, 4, 5). Request and welcome constructive feedback.
- □ Assess and strengthen the culture of mentoring. **Build out your mentor map.**
- Develop monthly meet-ups using NCFDD materials for faculty seeking promotion to provide support and accountability. Log into NCFDD and watch for monthly workshops.

Materials Required for Performance Review (Years 3, 6)

Personnel Data Summary:

- Cover sheet and PDS Form (including candidate statement)
- C.V.
- Peer observations (if applicable)
- Student evaluations (quantitative and qualitative data for all courses within the last 6 semesters)
- Syllabi and major exams/assignments (all courses within the last 6 semesters)
- Prior Years' Performance Review Letters (if applicable)
- External Review Material (if applicable)
- Five Significant Items in Teaching Effectiveness, Professional Growth, and Service

What to include in your WPAF

- □ **Significant items:** Probationary faculty may include accomplishments since degree or within the last six (6) years (whichever is shorter) as significant items. Faculty seeking promotion to "full" may include accomplishments since the awarding of tenure.
- Significant items: Candidates may append a single cover document (such as a letter from a journal editor) indicating the status of an professional growth significant item in the editorial or publication process.

Writing an effective candidate statement

- Frame your statement to correlate with criteria for tenure and promotion as published in the university, college, and department policy files.
- Describe how your accomplishments meet the published criteria.
- Articulate and contextualize your accomplishments so that reviewers can understand the strength and value of the work. This is especially critical if you work in a specialized or interdisciplinary field that may not be legible to reviewers.
- Include evidence of reflection and innovation over the arc of your career as a researcher and teacher.
- Articulate forms of service that may not be readily visible on your c.v. or in your significant items.

Writing an effective candidate statement

Suggestions for candidates in interdisciplinary, intersectional, mixed-methods, digital, translational, emergent or lesser-understood fields:

- Think about your audience at all levels of review, including university reps from other colleges. Provide them the information they need to recognize your accomplishments appropriately.
- State that you are in an interdisciplinary+ field and briefly describe it: number of practitioners nationwide, leading institutions, brief history of the field.
- Identify how your interdisciplinary+ field fits within the research and curricular programs of the campus, including its relationship (if any) to other schools, departments, or colleges.
- Articulate your methodology as a practitioner and explain how it relates to your research and teaching.
- Explain how value is measured and recognized in your field.
- When you describe your professional growth accomplishments, explain the standing or significance of the venues where you publish your work in terms of their innovation or impact.
- Acknowledge published criteria for tenure and promotion and translate them into terms that make sense for your field.

Late-add

Allows for new item or information to be added to the WPAF after the closing date.

Eligible items: a grant or prize, notification that an article, manuscript, or equivalent creative activity has been accepted for publication or the equivalent; when a manuscript or article is delivered to the publisher and is "in press," external review letters received after closing date.

Not eligible: Revise and resubmit notices; items accessible before WPAF closing; internal letters of recommendation.

Response / rebuttal

- You may respond or rebut within 10 days of receiving an evaluation using the "Send response" feature in Interfolio.
- It is not permitted to attach documents to a response / rebuttal. Additional information must be submitted through the late-add process.
- It is not appropriate to contact reviewing committees or administrators directly. Doing so jeopardizes the confidentiality and integrity of the process.

Additional tips

- If seeking promotion to Professor, do not include significant items that date from before your last promotion.
- In preparing your c.v., list all works in progress, specifying "under preparation," "under submission," "in press."
- Follow the recommended format for the "C.V. Template & Instructions" found on the <u>Evaluations</u> page, under "Performance Reviews:
 Information for Candidates" on the Faculty Advancement website.
 Incorporate in the C.V. and the PDS **brief** information on impact, selectivity, and significance of publications.
- For probationary faculty, obtain one (1) peer observation of teaching per year.
- In the One-of-a-Kind file: if a redesigned course is included among the five (5) significant items, be sure that the documentation provided illustrates the depth, creativity, and magnitude of the redesign.

Candidate statement breakout groups

- Form a cross-college colleague group with two other workshop attendees.
- Share your statement with your colleagues.
- Ask them what in your statement needs to be more fully explained or articulated.
- Assess whether you have provided enough information to contextualize your accomplishments.

Thank you

College	RTP Representative	Contact Information
Arts and Letters	Brenda Wills	619-594-7227 bwills@sdsu.edu
Business Administration	Kelly Bowen	619-594-4457 kbowen@sdsu.edu
Education	Maribel Flores	619-594-1425 mflores@sdsu.edu
Engineering	Amy Jensen	619-594-1848 ajensen@sdsu.edu
Health and Human Services	Claire Norberg	619-594-6151 cnorberg@sdsu.edu
Imperial Valley Campus	Samuel Palma	760-768-5520 spalma@sdsu.edu
Library and Information Access	Ashley Vorraro	619-594-0668 avorraro@sdsu.edu
Professional Studies and Fine Arts	Annie Rose Foral	619-594-6815 aforal@sdsu.edu
Sciences	Bettyann Bernhardt	619-594-6521 bbernhardt@sdsu.edu
Division of Student Affairs	Sarah Brewer	619-594-2636 sbrewer@sdsu.edu